

<b>Session Title:</b>	<b>From blocked to booked</b>
<b>Speaker(s):</b>	<b>Dr Jay Rees</b>
<b>Chair:</b>	<b>Raffi Cuccia</b>
<b>Reporter:</b>	<b>Beth Bradbury</b>

<b>Speaker/Institution Bio/Information:</b>	<b>Student events manager University of Law</b>
<b>Overview/Aim of session:</b>	<p><b>Authentic, human centred postgraduate experiences</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1. Current PG landscape</b></li> <li><b>2. Consistent blockers to authentic engagement</b></li> <li><b>3. Solutions, not problems: extending the PG playbook</b></li> <li><b>4. Guerilla-style PG recruiting</b></li> <li><b>5. Summary and conclusion</b></li> </ol>
<b>Workshop Content</b>	<p>Inspired by on-the-ground challenges</p> <p>Top words to describe PG recruitment: frustrating, challenging, difficult</p> <p><b>Wide range of opportunities and challenges</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Funding</li> <li>Competition</li> <li>Application timescales and deadlines</li> </ul> <p><b>Sector scan: the current PG landscape</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Affordability is the #1 driver and concern - remains the biggest influencing factor</li> <li>PG audiences are shifting - 30+ age group is dominating PG recruitment and younger audiences declining</li> <li>UG → PG progression is not automatic. HESA and JISC data shows most institutions sit below 10-15%</li> <li>International PG recruitment is volatile - domestic pipelines and engagement matter more than ever</li> <li>Competition between universities is intensifying -</li> </ul>

	<p>recruitment pressures are directly linked to financial deficits</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PG is no longer secondary - more PGT degrees were awarded than UG degrees in 23-24 cycle</li> <li>• Cost of living is impacting choices but not satisfaction - over 60% of students say cost of living affects them but course satisfaction is at a record high (86%)</li> <li>• Window of opportunity - students value quality and experience. Communicating value clearly and humanly are best placed to convert</li> </ul> <p><b>Access and structural barriers</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Limited access due to exclusivity/ sponsorship agreements with other universities</li> <li>• Closed departments and academic gatekeepers that restrict access</li> <li>• Capacity constraints: PG recruitment is often under resourced</li> <li>• Digital overload: students disengage from generic emails and scatter-gun campaigns - authenticity is key</li> <li>• Timing confusion: students underestimate when to explore PG options</li> <li>• Challenge isn't demand, it's access</li> <li>• Funding anxiety: top-cited barrier to PG study</li> <li>• Confidence gaps: many don't feel PG ready</li> <li>• Information gaps: unclear routes, modes and deadlines</li> <li>• Many barriers are systematic, predictable and solvable</li> <li>• Stereotypes: certain universities perceived as more prestigious, research-led or employability focussed</li> <li>• Presence seen as a 'hard sell' or transaction</li> <li>• Assumed loyalty: UG students don't automatically progress to PG</li> <li>• Hidden perceptions can block engagement - authentic, proactive, human-centred outreach is key</li> </ul> <p><b>Solutions: extending the PG playbook</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Human-centred tactics <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Authentic conversion over scripts</li> <li>○ micro-engagements : corridor chats, coffee tables, conversations, pop-ups</li> <li>○ Highly-present recruiters during high-pressure windows (Jan-April peak)</li> <li>○ Data-led targeting: focussing on segments with proven signals of interest and competitor presence</li> <li>○ Campus representatives and student ambassador led engagement</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
--	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Structural solutions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Multi-touchpoint pathways - events → conversations → follow ups</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Early pipeline work <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Build across years, not months</li> <li>○ Early segmentation</li> <li>○ Alumni reactivation</li> </ul> </li> <li>● PG literacy for UG students <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Use alumni cases</li> </ul> </li> <li>● High-value UG touchpoints <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Masterclasses and career-focussed workshops</li> <li>○ Subject specific PG tasters</li> <li>○ Quick human-centred wins - offer coffee in return for conversation</li> </ul> </li> <li>● You build progression, you don't assume it</li> </ul> <p>The hidden influencers: parents and guardians</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● What the data says <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 71% of students say parents/ guardians influence study decisions</li> <li>○ 66% of PG responders cite parental guidance</li> <li>○ Students whose parents/ guardians have studied POG are almost twice as likely to pursue PG study (26.2% vs 15.5%)</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Why this matters <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Support financially and emotionally</li> <li>○ Want clarity on ROI</li> <li>○ Often don't understand timescales/ funding</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Engagement opportunities <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Guides</li> <li>○ Explainer content</li> <li>○ Parent-led forums and dedicated PG pages</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Parents are hidden influencers - need to engage directly</li> </ul> <p>Progression opportunities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Early pipeline work <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Build across years, not months</li> <li>○ Early segmentation</li> <li>○ Alumni - use these</li> </ul> </li> <li>● PG literacy for UG students</li> <li>● High-value PG touchpoints</li> </ul>
<b>Case Studies/Examples:</b>	<b>Guerilla-style recruiting: ULaw case study</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Other universities began offering courses that had previously been specific to ULaw <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Important to meet students where they were</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Meeting students in hotspots - coffee shops near campus/ near halls of residence</li> <li>● Engaged with a range of graduates</li> <li>● Reaching out to societies</li> <li>● Neutral, personalised and focussed approaches rather than a hard sell</li> </ul> <p>Top tips</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Be where the students are</li> <li>● Respond to restricted access with presence, not avoidance</li> <li>● Low-resource, high impact setups</li> <li>● QR code micro-resources</li> <li>● Working with societies, course leaders, informal leaders</li> <li>● Be relational</li> </ul>
<b>Scenarios/Roundtable discussions:</b>	<p>What can be done to improve engagement at your institution?</p>
<b>Questions and Answers:</b>	<p>Pop-up stands have low engagement - what can be done?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Coffee trucks in high footfall areas - in return for conversation</li> <li>● Some form of offering works really well</li> <li>● Have conversations with students in locations where you're already at - additional time can offer valuable opportunity for conversation</li> </ul> <p>As the audience moves to 30+, how can this audience be engaged given they are often off campus?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Important to understand at what point these students enter the comms</li> <li>● Tailor a comms strategy - important to understand what the audience interacts with</li> <li>● Create resource value - webinars about returning to education/ topping up professional standing</li> </ul>
<b>SummaryKey takeaways:</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Requires intentional, human-centred engagement</li> <li>● Blockers are real but solvable</li> <li>● Understand your audience</li> <li>● Non-traditional tactics work</li> <li>● Quick wins matter</li> <li>● Communicate value clearly: quality, outcomes and experiences</li> <li>● Engage creatively and consistently</li> <li>● Be present, human, flexible, bold and where the students are</li> </ul>

